

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/749,940	12/29/2000	Christian C. Ellens	56130.000044	6806	
Hunton & Will	7590 01/22/2007	EXAMINER			
1900 K Street, N.W.			WOOD, WILLIAM H		
Washington, DC 20006-1109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2193		
			·		
			. MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		01/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/749,940	ELLENS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
William H. Wood	2193	

	William H. Wood	2193	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 December 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS 1. ☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nor a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid abaidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The periods. The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the second contents.	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F 36(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropr	on. ILED WITHIN te extension fee iate extension fee
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	than three months after the mailing da		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, It (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in between the control of the control o	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	•	
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	will not be entered, or b) will will	•	_
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-65,67 and 68</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	N/ 1		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appear Brief Part of Paper No. 20070110

2.5.

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 09/749,940

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New limitations including, "wherein manipulation of management attributes comprises sending control signals to the managed object representation being managed" (claim 1) will require further consideration and possibly search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant's claim language requires an interpretation encompassing all of the originally filed disclosure not what the disclosure "could", "may" or "might" encompass. Therefore, Applicant's claim language lays claim to signals and software as being the embodiment of the invention. The original disclosure doesn't limit these items out, it actually expands to include them. Newly filed limitations would require further consideration and possibly search. In regard to claim 22, the previous Final Office Action (mailed 05 September 2006) addressed the issue on pages 26-27. Therefore, the rejections are maintained as previously indicated.